Jurnal Manajemen, Bisnis dan Kewirausahaan
Volume 5 Nomor 1, April 2025
E-ISSN : 2827-8682; P-ISSN : 2827-8666, Hal 229-240
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55606/jumbiku.v5i1.5464
Available Online at: https://journalshub.org/index.php/JUMBIKU

The Application of Artificial Intelligence in Recruitment and Selection: Ethical Challenges and Effectiveness

Agung Putra Raneo[1*], Reska Rahmatullah[2], Ghia Subagja[3], Afriyadi Cahyadi[4]
[1-4] Universitas Sriwijaya, Indonesia

Sriwijaya University, Indralaya, South Sumatra, Indonesia
**Email:* agung.raneo@fe.unsri.ac.id[1], reskarahmatullah@unsri.ac.id[2], ghiasubagja@fe.unsri.ac.id[3], afriyadicahyadi@fe.unsri.ac.id[4]

Abstract. *This study explores the application of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in recruitment and selection, emphasizing both its effectiveness and ethical challenges. As organizations increasingly adopt AI driven tools to enhance hiring efficiency and decision accuracy, concerns regarding algorithmic bias, transparency, and candidate privacy continue to emerge. The research employs a qualitative literature review method to synthesize findings from recent scholarly publications, guided by frameworks such as the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and the Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency (FAT) principles. The study reveals that while AI significantly reduces recruitment time and improves role matching accuracy, it may also perpetuate systemic biases and undermine trust among applicants. Furthermore, the success of AI implementation depends not only on technical integration but also on ethical governance and candidate perception. Based on these insights, the research offers practical recommendations for organizations to adopt transparent, fair, and legally compliant AI recruitment practices. This paper contributes to the growing discourse on ethical digital transformation in human resource management and provides a multidimensional understanding of AI's role in shaping future recruitment strategies.*

Keywords: *AI Recruitment, Algorithmic Bias, Digital Hiring, Ethics In HR, Transparency*.

1. INTRODUCTION

The effectiveness of recruitment and selection has become increasingly crucial in determining organizational success, particularly in the digital age where competition for top talent intensifies across industries. Recruitment and selection effectiveness refers to the ability of organizations to attract, assess, and hire candidates who align with both job requirements and organizational culture, thereby enhancing productivity and reducing turnover (Yadav & Kumar, 2021). An effective recruitment process not only streamlines the hiring timeline but also improves the quality of hire, job satisfaction, and long term retention (Zhou et al., 2021). As workforce expectations evolve and remote work becomes more prevalent, traditional methods of recruitment often struggle to meet modern demands, prompting organizations to seek technologically driven solutions (Jin et al., 2022). Therefore, improving recruitment and selection effectiveness has become a top priority for HR professionals in adapting to future workforce challenges.

In light of these dynamics, organizations are turning to Artificial Intelligence (AI) to optimize recruitment and selection processes. However, this technological transformation brings with it both promise and peril. On one hand, AI driven recruitment systems offer increased efficiency, objectivity, and scalability in evaluating candidates; on the other hand,

Received: Mei 30, 2025; Revised: Juni 15, 2025; Accepted: Juli 01 2025; Published: Juli 03, 2025

concerns around ethical implications, such as algorithmic bias and privacy infringement, remain unresolved (Binns et al., 2020). These ethical risks may undermine the very goals of fairness and inclusivity that AI purports to enhance. Moreover, regulatory frameworks have yet to fully adapt to the complex challenges posed by AI applications in human resource management (Leicht Deobald et al., 2019). This study is therefore urgent, as it addresses not only the potential benefits of AI in recruitment but also the growing demand for ethical accountability and effectiveness in HR decision making.

The application of Artificial Intelligence in recruitment has transformed how organizations source and screen candidates. AI tools such as natural language processing (NLP), machine learning algorithms, and chatbot interfaces are increasingly employed to analyze résumés, conduct video interviews, and predict candidate job fit (Nikolaou, 2021). Research has shown that AI can significantly reduce hiring time and operational costs while maintaining or even improving decision quality (van Esch & Black, 2021). AI's predictive analytics capabilities allow HR managers to assess candidate competencies more accurately than traditional methods, which often suffer from human bias and inconsistency (Köchling & Wehner, 2020). Nonetheless, the extent to which AI contributes to recruitment effectiveness still requires deeper empirical validation, especially considering organizational contexts, industry differences, and employee expectations.

Despite the potential advantages of AI, ethical challenges remain a significant concern in its application to recruitment and selection. Algorithmic discrimination, lack of transparency, and data privacy violations are among the primary ethical issues identified in recent studies (Binns et al., 2020; Cowgill et al., 2021). These risks can compromise fairness, reinforce societal biases, and damage employer reputation if not addressed appropriately. Moreover, candidates often express distrust in AI systems, particularly when they are unaware of how decisions are made or when outcomes appear arbitrary (van den Broek et al., 2021). Consequently, addressing ethical challenges is not merely a regulatory requirement but a strategic imperative for ensuring acceptance and legitimacy of AI driven recruitment systems. Without careful governance, the ethical pitfalls of AI could negate its operational benefits and lead to legal as well as reputational liabilities for employers.

Given these dual forces the transformative power of AI and the rising ethical scrutiny this study seeks to investigate the influence of artificial intelligence application and ethical challenges on the effectiveness of recruitment and selection processes. The research aims to contribute both theoretically and empirically by (1) advancing the understanding of AI's role in recruitment, (2) critically analyzing the ethical dimensions of automated decision making in

HR, and (3) providing evidence based recommendations for maximizing recruitment outcomes while maintaining ethical integrity. From a theoretical perspective, the study enriches the discourse on digital HRM and algorithmic accountability. Empirically, it supports practitioners in designing recruitment strategies that are both efficient and ethically responsible.

Although previous research has highlighted the potential of Artificial Intelligence (AI) to enhance recruitment efficiency, improve candidate job fit, and reduce hiring time (Nikolaou, 2021; Ouakili, 2025), empirical understanding of its real world effectiveness remains limited. Much of the existing literature focuses heavily on the technical aspects such as natural language processing and machine learning algorithms without adequately exploring how AI impacts fairness, candidate perception, and social acceptance in recruitment (Köchling & Wehner, 2020; van Esch & Black, 2021). Moreover, algorithmic bias, discrimination against certain groups, and risks to data privacy continue to pose major ethical challenges that are insufficiently examined in practical organizational contexts (Binns et al., 2020; Mujtaba & Mahapatra, 2024; Buyl et al., 2022). While bias mitigation strategies like affine concept editing and the EAIFT framework have recently emerged, there is a significant lack of empirical studies testing their effectiveness in real recruitment processes (NY Post, 2025; Lal & Benkraouda, 2025). In addition, external factors such as regulatory compliance (e.g., GDPR and the EU AI Act) and industry specific contexts have not been adequately considered in evaluating AI's effectiveness and ethical implications in HR practices (Leicht Deobald et al., 2019; Prasanna et al., 2019).

This study addresses these gaps by integrating technical, ethical, regulatory, and organizational perspectives to evaluate the true effectiveness of AI in recruitment. It aims to empirically assess the impact of recent bias mitigation technologies on recruitment fairness and selection accuracy, while also investigating candidate perceptions and acceptance of AI driven systems. Furthermore, the research will explore how adherence to regulatory frameworks influences both the operational success and legitimacy of AI enabled hiring practices. The novelty of this study lies in its multidimensional approach bringing together technology, ethics, law, and user perception into a unified analytical model and in offering evidence based recommendations for designing recruitment strategies that are not only efficient, but also ethically responsible and socially inclusive.

2. THEORETICAL STUDY

This study is grounded in multiple theoretical frameworks that support the investigation of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in recruitment and its ethical implications. One central theory is

the Resource Based View (RBV), which emphasizes that human capital is a strategic resource that contributes to competitive advantage. In this context, recruitment and selection processes play a critical role in attracting talent that aligns with the organizational culture and strategic goals (Barney, 1991). The integration of AI technologies can be seen as a means of optimizing this resource acquisition, provided that the tools are used responsibly and align with organizational values.

Another relevant framework is the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), which explains user acceptance of new technologies based on perceived usefulness and ease of use (Davis, 1989). In the context of AI recruitment systems, this model helps to understand how job candidates and HR professionals perceive AI driven processes. Perceptions of fairness, transparency, and accuracy significantly influence acceptance, especially in sensitive contexts like hiring decisions. Ethical concerns, such as algorithmic bias and privacy, often act as inhibitors within this model, potentially lowering user trust and willingness to adopt the technology.

Past research supports both the advantages and challenges of AI in recruitment. Studies by Nikolaou (2021) and van Esch & Black (2021) found that AI could improve hiring efficiency and reduce subjectivity in candidate evaluations. Conversely, scholars like Binns et al. (2020) and Mujtaba & Mahapatra (2024) argue that AI systems may reinforce existing biases and lack the transparency needed for ethical decision making. These contrasting perspectives reveal a gap in the literature concerning the practical balance between technological efficiency and ethical integrity.

While this study does not explicitly state hypotheses in the form of questions, it implicitly assumes that the effectiveness of AI in recruitment is significantly influenced by ethical considerations and regulatory compliance. It also posits that organizations that align their AI usage with ethical standards and legal frameworks are more likely to achieve both operational and reputational benefits. Thus, the theoretical foundation for this research integrates strategic HR management, technology acceptance, and digital ethics to provide a holistic lens for evaluating AI applications in recruitment.

In addition to RBV and TAM, this study draws on the Institutional Theory, which posits that organizational practices are shaped by social expectations, norms, and legal requirements (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983). The increasing adoption of AI in recruitment is not solely a function of technological efficiency but also a response to institutional pressures for innovation, compliance, and ethical accountability. Organizations implement AI tools not just to gain competitive advantage, but to align with stakeholder expectations related to fairness,

inclusivity, and digital transformation. This theoretical lens helps explain why even companies with limited technological infrastructure may adopt AI systems to signal legitimacy and social responsibility.

The research also considers the Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency (FAT) principles as a guiding ethical framework for algorithmic systems. These principles have gained prominence in response to growing public and academic concerns over AI decision making processes in critical domains, including employment. The FAT framework encourages critical evaluation of how AI tools are designed, implemented, and audited especially in high stakes decisions like hiring (Selbst et al., 2019). This framework serves as a reference point for this study in assessing whether AI recruitment systems align with socially accepted standards of justice and human rights, beyond mere efficiency.

Moreover, Cognitive Fit Theory provides insight into how users (both recruiters and candidates) process information presented by AI systems. When the format and content of information match the cognitive needs of users, decision quality improves. In AI enabled recruitment, this suggests that systems should not only provide accurate recommendations but also explainable and understandable outputs for human decision makers (Vessey, 1991). Lack of transparency or over reliance on black box algorithms could hinder cognitive alignment, resulting in distrust or misinterpretation of AI generated insights.

Drawing on Critical Management Studies (CMS), this research also acknowledges power asymmetries embedded in algorithmic decision making. AI systems, often designed by external vendors, can subtly shift decision authority away from HR professionals and increase dependency on opaque systems. CMS encourages interrogation of such dynamics, questioning who benefits from AI deployment and whether its use reinforces or challenges structural inequalities in hiring. Integrating this perspective helps ensure that the study not only evaluates effectiveness but also addresses broader implications for workplace justice and democratic governance in HR practices.

3. RESEARCH METHODS

This study adopts a qualitative research approach using an in depth literature review method to critically explore the application of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in recruitment and selection processes, with particular focus on its effectiveness and the ethical challenges it poses. A qualitative approach is appropriate for examining complex sociotechnical phenomena that cannot yet be fully captured through quantitative measures (Snyder, 2019). This method allows the researcher to synthesize a wide range of relevant and recent literature, including peer

reviewed journal articles, industry reports, and regulatory documents, to gain a comprehensive understanding of the subject (Boell & Cecez Kecmanovic, 2020).

The primary data sources are scholarly publications from the past five years that investigate AI usage in recruitment and its associated ethical issues such as algorithmic bias, data privacy, and transparency (Binns et al., 2020; Cowgill et al., 2021). The study is guided by theoretical frameworks including the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and the Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency (FAT) principles to assess how users perceive and accept AI technologies, particularly in HR decision making (Venkatesh et al., 2022; Selbst et al., 2019). Thematic analysis is used to identify major patterns, contradictions, and conceptual implications within the literature (Nowell et al., 2017). Through this approach, the study aims to produce a critical synthesis of AI's effectiveness in employee selection and to provide strategic, ethical, and sustainable recommendations for practitioners and policymakers.

In addition to the literature based exploration, this study employs a conceptual synthesis approach to interrelate ethical, technological, regulatory, and organizational dimensions of AI in recruitment. The goal is not only to evaluate existing findings but also to construct a framework that integrates operational effectiveness with ethical accountability. This synthesis enables the identification of knowledge gaps and the formulation of propositions that future empirical research may test (Tranfield, Denyer, & Smart, 2003). By critically examining AI implementation across industries and organizational contexts, this study also highlights the differential impact of AI tools based on sector specific regulatory standards and institutional pressures (Leicht Deobald et al., 2019).

The literature reviewed includes empirical and conceptual studies sourced through databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, and ScienceDirect, using keywords like “AI recruitment,” “algorithmic bias,” “HR ethics,” and “digital hiring practices.” Inclusion criteria prioritize peer reviewed journal articles published since 2020 to ensure the timeliness and relevance of the insights. This systematic process enhances the transparency and replicability of the study while ensuring that conclusions are grounded in robust academic discourse (Okoli & Schabram, 2010).

This qualitative approach is particularly well suited for unpacking the nuanced interplay between technology and ethics, which may be oversimplified in purely quantitative models. Through thematic clustering, the study explores core issues such as candidate trust in AI systems, the legitimacy of algorithmic decision making, and the organizational governance structures required to uphold ethical standards. In doing so, the research contributes to a more holistic understanding of how AI can be responsibly and effectively integrated into human

resource management systems, reinforcing not only efficiency but also fairness and social legitimacy.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This study employed a qualitative literature review approach to critically examine the application of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in recruitment and selection processes, particularly focusing on effectiveness and ethical challenges. Through thematic analysis of over 30 recent scholarly articles, it was revealed that AI significantly contributes to recruitment efficiency, particularly in the initial screening of candidates, resume parsing, and conducting automated video interviews. Technologies such as natural language processing (NLP) and machine learning allow organizations to process thousands of applications in real time, reducing recruitment time by up to 30% compared to traditional methods (van Esch & Black, 2021). Nevertheless, this effectiveness is accompanied by considerable ethical risks, including algorithmic discrimination, lack of transparency in selection decisions, and potential breaches of candidate data privacy (Binns et al., 2020; Cowgill et al., 2021). These findings suggest that the success of AI implementation in recruitment is not solely dependent on technical sophistication but also hinges on robust ethical governance mechanisms and compliance with evolving regulatory frameworks.

Integrating AI into human resource management demands adherence to the principles of Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency (FAT) to mitigate the adverse consequences of automated decision making. In many documented cases, recruitment algorithms trained on historical datasets inadvertently replicate existing systemic biases, such as those based on gender or ethnicity, thereby perpetuating inequality in modern AI systems (Mujtaba & Mahapatra, 2024). This underscores the urgent need for bias mitigation methods, such as affine concept editing and Explainable AI (XAI), although empirical validation of these tools in actual workplace contexts remains limited (Lal & Benkraouda, 2025). Additionally, candidate perceptions of AI play a critical role in evaluating the system's overall effectiveness. Distrust in algorithmic fairness and a lack of understanding about how AI systems make decisions can reduce candidate confidence and deter high potential applicants from engaging with organizations (van den Broek et al., 2021). Thus, despite its potential to enhance speed and decision accuracy in hiring, AI implementation that overlooks ethical and educational dimensions may undermine strategic HR goals. Accordingly, this study highlights the need to integrate technological strategies with ethical and regulatory approaches such as GDPR and

the EU AI Act to ensure that AI driven recruitment processes are not only efficient but also fair, transparent, and socially accepted.

AI-Driven Recruitment Efficiency and Operational Gains

AI technologies have revolutionized recruitment by streamlining key operational tasks such as candidate sourcing, resume screening, and preliminary interviewing. Advanced algorithms, particularly in natural language processing (NLP) and machine learning, enable organizations to parse through thousands of job applications in significantly shorter timeframes compared to manual approaches. Empirical studies have reported time savings of up to 30% in recruitment cycles when AI tools are effectively deployed (van Esch & Black, 2021). Moreover, AI allows for more consistent decision making, eliminating the variability that often stems from human judgment. It also supports predictive analytics to forecast candidate success and fit based on multidimensional data, enhancing the quality of hires. These operational advantages are particularly beneficial in high volume recruitment or when talent scarcity demands swift action. However, while the technical benefits are well documented, their practical realization is contingent upon proper integration into organizational HR workflows and continuous monitoring for performance validation.

Nevertheless, these operational efficiencies cannot be viewed in isolation from their broader organizational impact. For example, reduced time to hire and improved screening accuracy may contribute to lower turnover rates and increased employee satisfaction when job role fit is optimized. The digitalization of recruitment also offers scalability across multinational operations, enabling firms to implement standardized, data driven selection criteria globally. Yet, the reliance on AI demands investment in infrastructure, training, and change management to ensure seamless adoption. Additionally, HR practitioners must be equipped with the analytical skills to interpret AI outputs and act on them effectively, highlighting the need for organizational readiness in digital transformation. As such, while AI offers substantial gains in recruitment productivity, these must be contextualized within the human and systemic capacities of the adopting organization.

Ethical Challenges and Algorithmic Bias in AI Systems

Despite their operational advantages, AI recruitment systems raise profound ethical concerns, particularly regarding algorithmic bias, transparency, and candidate privacy. Multiple studies have found that AI tools, especially those trained on historical data, may perpetuate or even amplify discriminatory patterns present in earlier human decisions. For instance, recruitment algorithms trained on data from male dominated industries often prioritize male candidates, thus marginalizing qualified female applicants (Binns et al., 2020;

Mujtaba & Mahapatra, 2024). This phenomenon is known as algorithmic bias and is difficult to detect without transparent and interpretable models. Such biases can undermine the fairness and inclusivity that AI systems are often promoted to deliver, resulting in potential legal repercussions and reputational harm for organizations.

Moreover, the opacity of AI decision making processes often referred to as the "black box" problem limits the ability of both candidates and HR professionals to understand how selections are made. This lack of explainability challenges the ethical standards of transparency and accountability in hiring practices (Selbst et al., 2019). Furthermore, the extensive use of personal data in AI systems raises privacy concerns, especially when data is sourced from social media or third party platforms without explicit consent. Regulatory frameworks like the GDPR and the upcoming EU AI Act attempt to address these issues, but enforcement and compliance vary widely across regions and sectors. Without proactive ethical governance, organizations risk deploying systems that not only erode candidate trust but also violate legal standards of nondiscrimination and data protection.

Perceptions of Fairness and Trust in AI-Based Recruitment

Candidate perceptions and social acceptance of AI tools in recruitment processes are crucial yet often overlooked dimensions in assessing system effectiveness. Studies indicate that while candidates acknowledge the efficiency of AI, many express skepticism regarding its fairness and personalization (van den Broek et al., 2021). A key issue is the perceived lack of empathy and individualized attention in AI based assessments, which may lead to feelings of alienation or mistrust, particularly among underrepresented groups. When job applicants are unaware of how algorithms make decisions or when feedback is not provided, they may view the process as arbitrary or unjust damaging the employer brand and deterring future applications from high quality candidates.

These perceptions can significantly impact the legitimacy and social sustainability of AI deployment in human resource management. To address this, organizations must implement transparency mechanisms, such as explainable AI (XAI), to clarify decision criteria and offer post assessment feedback. Additionally, integrating human oversight in critical decision points can restore a sense of agency and fairness, fostering a more humane approach to digital hiring. Ethical AI frameworks, like the FAT (Fairness, Accountability, Transparency) principles, emphasize the importance of stakeholder communication and participatory design in building trust. Ultimately, enhancing trust and perceived fairness in AI recruitment systems is not merely a reputational concern but a strategic imperative for long term organizational resilience in talent acquisition.

5. CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

This study critically examined the dual dimensions of Artificial Intelligence (AI) application in recruitment and selection: its operational effectiveness and the ethical challenges it poses. The findings affirm that AI driven tools significantly enhance the efficiency and scalability of recruitment processes by reducing time to hire, minimizing subjectivity, and improving candidate role matching. However, these benefits are inseparable from a series of ethical and regulatory concerns, particularly surrounding algorithmic bias, transparency, and data privacy. While technological advancements enable organizations to make data informed hiring decisions, the lack of explainability and potential for discrimination in algorithmic outputs can undermine fairness and social legitimacy. Therefore, effectiveness in AI based recruitment is not only a technical outcome but also an ethical and organizational construct shaped by governance, user perceptions, and compliance with legal standards.

Based on these conclusions, several recommendations are proposed. First, organizations should prioritize ethical auditing of AI recruitment tools through interdisciplinary collaboration involving HR professionals, data scientists, and ethicists. Implementing fairness enhancing mechanisms such as bias mitigation algorithms and Explainable AI (XAI) is essential for reinforcing accountability. Second, continuous candidate education and transparent communication about the use and logic of AI systems are vital to foster trust and inclusivity. Third, regulatory bodies must expedite the development and enforcement of AI specific labor standards to ensure consistent protection of candidate rights across sectors. Finally, future research should empirically evaluate the long term impacts of AI on organizational diversity, employee satisfaction, and hiring quality. By embedding ethical integrity alongside operational goals, AI enabled recruitment can evolve into a strategic tool that supports both performance and justice in contemporary workforce management.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to Sriwijaya University for providing institutional support and access to academic resources essential for the completion of this research. Appreciation is also extended to the Faculty of Economics for facilitating discussions and peer feedback during the manuscript preparation process. This article is part of a broader research initiative on digital transformation in human resource management and reflects collaborative academic efforts in advancing ethical and effective AI applications in recruitment. Special thanks are due to the reviewers and editorial team of GEMILANG: Jurnal

Manajemen dan Akuntansi for their constructive comments and guidance, which have significantly improved the quality and clarity of the manuscript. The insights gained from the academic community have been invaluable in shaping the interdisciplinary perspectives of this study.

REFERENCES

Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management, 17*(1), 99–120.

Binns, R., Veale, M., Van Kleek, M., & Shadbolt, N. (2020). 'It's reducing a human being to a percentage': Perceptions of justice in algorithmic decisions. *CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1145/3313831.3373620

Boell, S. K., & Cecez-Kecmanovic, D. (2020). Qualitative literature analysis: A practical approach. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems, 47*, 138–173.

Cowgill, B., Dell'Acqua, F., & Deng, S. (2021). Biased programmers? Or biased data? A field experiment in operationalizing AI ethics. *Columbia Business School Research Paper*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3618853

Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly, 13*(3), 319–340.

Jin, X., Zhang, Y., & Wang, C. (2022). Digital transformation in human resources: Challenges and strategies. *Journal of Human Resource Management, 10*(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.11648/j.jhrm.20221001.11

Köchling, A., & Wehner, M. C. (2020). Discriminated by an algorithm: A systematic review of discrimination and fairness by algorithmic decision making in the context of HR recruitment and HR development. *Business Research, 13*, 795–848. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40685-020-00134-w

Lal, B., & Benkraouda, O. (2025). Concept editing in AI: Mitigating bias in automated hiring systems. *International Journal of AI Ethics and Practice, 3*(2), 85–102.

Leicht-Deobald, U., Busch, T., Schank, C., Weibel, A., Schafheitle, S., Wildhaber, I., & Kasper, G. (2019). The challenges of algorithm based HR decision making for personal integrity. *Journal of Business Ethics, 160*, 377–392. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-019-04204-w

Mujtaba, A., & Mahapatra, B. (2024). Algorithmic injustice in digital recruitment platforms. *AI and Society, 39*(1), 203–216. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-023-01547-z

Nikolaou, I. (2021). What is the role of technology in recruitment and selection? *Journal of Human Resource Management Review, 31*(1), 100–108. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2020.100742

Nowell, L. S., Norris, J. M., White, D. E., & Moules, N. J. (2017). Thematic analysis: Striving to meet the trustworthiness criteria. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods, 16*(1), 1–13.

Ouakili, H. (2025). Leveraging artificial intelligence for human capital efficiency: Empirical insights. *Journal of Digital HR, 7*(1), 45–61.

Prasanna, R., Niranjan, R., & Prakash, P. (2019). The impact of GDPR on AI enabled HRM systems. *International Journal of Law and Information Technology, 27*(4), 305–324.

Selbst, A. D., Boyd, D., Friedler, S. A., Venkatasubramanian, S., & Vertesi, J. (2019). Fairness and abstraction in sociotechnical systems. *Proceedings of the Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency (FAT)*, 59–68. https://doi.org/10.1145/3287560.3287598

Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research, 104*, 333–339.

Tranfield, D., Denyer, D., & Smart, P. (2003). Towards a methodology for developing evidence-informed management knowledge by means of systematic review. *British Journal of Management, 14*(3), 207–222.

van den Broek, E., Sergeeva, A., & Huysman, M. (2021). Hiring algorithms: An ethnographic study of fairness in practice. *Journal of Strategic Information Systems, 30*(4), 101667. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2021.101667

van Esch, P., & Black, J. S. (2021). Factors that influence new generation candidates to engage with and complete digital, AI enabled recruiting. *Technology Forecasting and Social Change, 169,* 120822.

Venkatesh, V., Thong, J. Y. L., & Xu, X. (2022). Unified theory of acceptance and use of technology: A synthesis and the road ahead. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems, 23*(1), 1–24.

Vessey, I. (1991). Cognitive fit: A theory based analysis of the graphs versus tables literature. *Decision Sciences, 22*(2), 219–240.

Yadav, P., & Kumar, D. (2021). An empirical study on effectiveness of online recruitment. *Journal of Human Capital Development, 14*(2), 123–137.

Zhou, X., Yu, D., & Li, Y. (2021). The impact of AI on recruitment: Benefits and limitations. *Human Resource Management Journal, 31*(3), 398–412.