



## Relationship Marketing as a Driver of Competitive Advantage in Service Industries

**Salamatun Asakdiyah**

Prodi Manajemen, Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Indonesia

Penulis Korespondensi : [salamatun.asakdiyah@mgm.uad.ac.id](mailto:salamatun.asakdiyah@mgm.uad.ac.id)

**Abstract :** *Relationship marketing is increasingly important in the context of intensifying competition in service industries that are becoming more commoditized, where price-based differentiation and operational efficiency are easy to imitate and quickly lose their distinctiveness. This article aims to examine relationship marketing as a driver of competitive advantage by positioning it as a strategic capability that builds relational assets. The study adopts a qualitative, theory-based narrative review approach focused on cross-theory conceptual synthesis and interpretive analysis. The review identifies a consistent mechanism: relationship marketing practices—through meaningful communication, service consistency, service recovery, ethically grounded personalization, and facilitation of customer participation—develop trust, commitment, and customer engagement that accumulate into relationship quality. Stronger relationship quality then enhances loyalty, increases retention, creates switching costs (especially relational costs), and expands relational capital in the form of customer knowledge, reputation, referral networks, and learning loops that support service innovation. The main contribution of this article is a theoretical synthesis that integrates the Resource-Based View, Social Exchange Theory, and Service-Dominant Logic into a conceptual RM–competitive advantage model that is path dependent and difficult to imitate. Theoretically, the model clarifies the causal–conceptual pathway through which service advantage is formed, while managerially it emphasizes orchestrating service culture and relational capabilities, including the responsible use of technology.*

**Keywords :** *Competitive Advantage; Conceptual Model; Customer Loyalty; Relationship Marketing; Service Industries.*

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Service industries face intensifying rivalry as digital platforms make offers transparent, reviews ubiquitous, and switching costs lower, accelerating commoditization dynamics in many markets (Reimann, Schilke, & Thomas, 2010). Under these conditions, firms frequently lean on price-based promotions and operational efficiency to defend share; however, such levers often generate only temporary gains because service features and process improvements are rapidly imitated. Evidence from service-quality research shows that customers' behavioral intentions—staying, recommending, or defecting—are highly sensitive to perceived service performance, so competing mainly on price can backfire when it signals lower quality or crowds out investments that stabilize delivery consistency (Zeithaml, Berry, & Parasuraman, 1996). Moreover, as industries become more homogeneous, customer price sensitivity rises and differentiation erodes, further squeezing margins and raising vulnerability to churn (Reimann et al., 2010). These patterns suggest a structural limit to cost and price strategies in services where experiences, not products, are the core value proposition.

Against this backdrop, relationship marketing is increasingly framed as a more durable route to competitive advantage because it builds relational assets that are difficult to replicate. The commitment–trust theory argues that trust and relationship commitment function as central mediators that reduce opportunism, strengthen cooperation, and stabilize long-term exchange (Morgan & Hunt, 1994). From a service-dominant logic view, advantage emerges when firms and customers co-create value through repeated interactions, resource integration, and superior relationship experiences rather than one-off transactions (Vargo & Lusch, 2004). Operationally, well-developed CRM processes—covering initiation, maintenance, and, when needed, termination—have been linked to stronger firm performance by improving customer retention and relationship profitability (Reinartz, Krafft, & Hoyer, 2004). Consistent with these international insights, Indonesian evidence also indicates that CRM can enhance marketing outcomes through competitive advantage pathways (Musonnafa, Sumiati, & Djazuli, 2022). Thus, a relational strategy complements efficiency by converting service interactions into sustainable differentiation in many contexts.

As price-led rivalry and efficiency programs become easier to replicate in service markets, firms increasingly pivot from transactional tactics toward relational strategies that generate long-term value and stickier demand. Industry commoditization research explains that when offerings converge and customers can compare providers instantly, competition drifts toward price, compressing margins and weakening differentiation, which makes “operational excellence alone” an unstable basis for advantage (Reimann, Schilke, & Thomas, 2010). Service-quality evidence further suggests that customers’ repurchase and word-of-mouth intentions depend heavily on perceived service performance, so repeated discounting can accelerate switching when experience consistency deteriorates (Zeithaml, Berry, & Parasuraman, 1996). In response, relationship marketing reframes advantage as a relational asset: commitment–trust theory positions trust and commitment as core mechanisms that reduce opportunism, strengthen cooperation, and stabilize repeat exchange—outcomes that competitors cannot copy overnight (Morgan & Hunt, 1994). In parallel, service-dominant logic argues that value is co-created through ongoing interactions and resource integration, making relationship experiences a central arena for differentiation in services (Vargo & Lusch, 2004). Operationally, CRM capability is portrayed as the organizing infrastructure of this shift, linking relationship initiation and maintenance to superior performance via retention and relationship profitability (Reinartz, Krafft, & Hoyer, 2004). Indonesian findings also align, showing CRM’s significant association with competitive advantage in local settings (Mardatillah, 2023).

Service-market commoditization and digital transparency have made price and process improvements easier to copy, which is why service firms increasingly search for differentiation in what competitors cannot standardize: the *experience of the relationship*. In services, value is inherently difficult to “package” because delivery is intangible and produced/consumed in interaction, so performance varies across employees, situations, and touchpoints. Recent tourism–hospitality research shows that these classic service attributes translate into a dense bundle of customer touchpoints—environmental cues, technology interfaces, core service encounters, and customer–employee interactions—that jointly shape customer experience and, ultimately, loyalty outcomes (Kim & So, 2024). As relationship management becomes data-enabled, service organizations also face rising complexity in orchestrating consistent experiences across channels and moments of truth, pushing relationship building from a “soft” tactic to a strategic capability (Steinhoff et al., 2022). This background positions relationship marketing as especially relevant in service industries because differentiation is less about the core offer and more about how firms manage variability, personalize interactions, and create reliable relational value across repeated encounters (Grönroos, 2024).

Service commoditization and digital transparency are pushing firms to move from transactional tactics toward relational strategies—the research gap becomes evident when examining four recent, closely related studies. Research on *customer touchpoints* in tourism and hospitality is predominantly quantitative-empirical (index development and testing CT–CX–loyalty links), enriching the measurement of experience but not yet explicitly positioning touchpoints within *relationship marketing* as a cross-industry strategic capability in services (Kim & So, 2024). A conceptual contribution on service-informed marketing reform advances the service scholarship perspective, yet it stops short of offering an integrative framework that operationally connects *relational mechanisms* (trust–commitment), cross-touchpoint experience orchestration, and the sources of competitive advantage (Grönroos, 2024). The “Commentaries on relationship marketing” articulates forward-looking themes for customer relationships in services (data, ethics, relationship dynamics), but it remains a set of conceptual positions that has not been synthesized into a unified model that maps the *pathway* from relational practices to *competitive advantage* (Steinhoff et al., 2022). Meanwhile, Indonesian studies on relationship marketing are also largely quantitative and outcome-focused (e.g., satisfaction/loyalty), while rarely integrating broader umbrella theories (e.g., S-D logic, RBV/dynamic capabilities, and CX/touchpoints) to explain *why* and *how* competitive advantage emerges (Sarah et al., 2025). Therefore, a compelling research opportunity is to conduct a theory-based *narrative review* that synthesizes these four streams into a deep

conceptual model: how relational capabilities orchestrate touchpoints and value co-creation to produce competitive advantage that is difficult to imitate.

This article aims to conceptually examine how *relationship marketing* operates as a driver of competitive advantage in service industries that are increasingly commoditized and digitally transparent, where price-based tactics and operational efficiency are often easy to imitate and quickly lose differentiating power. Using a qualitative, theory-based *narrative review* design, the study integrates major theoretical lenses that are frequently treated in parallel—such as relational mechanisms (trust—commitment), service-based value co-creation, and resource/capability perspectives—to explain *why* and *how* customer relationships can become strategic assets that are difficult for rivals to replicate. Its main contribution is to develop a literature-grounded conceptual model that maps the pathway from relational practices and cross-touchpoint experience orchestration to retention, advocacy, and the accumulation of *relational capital* as the basis for sustained competitive advantage. Beyond theory building, the model offers a structured agenda for future conceptual inquiry and provides actionable strategic guidance for service managers seeking durable differentiation beyond price and efficiency.

## 2. THEORETICAL STUDY

### From *Transactional Marketing* to *Relational Marketing*

The evolution of *relationship marketing* can be interpreted as a conceptual response to the limits of *transactional marketing*, which prioritizes short-term exchanges, sales volume, and campaign efficiency. In a transactional logic, value is typically treated as an economic outcome completed at the point of purchase; post-purchase interactions are often positioned as ancillary support rather than a strategic arena. The shift toward *relational marketing* reframes marketing from “closing deals” to “cultivating bonds” by building repeated interactions grounded in trust, commitment, and consistent communication. This transition is particularly salient in service industries because value is produced and consumed through ongoing service encounters rather than embedded in a tangible product. As a result, customer relationships become strategic assets that shape retention, advocacy, and resilience against market commoditization, positioning relational capabilities as a core basis for sustained differentiation in competitive service environments.

## Shifts in Value and Time Orientation (*Value-Time Orientation*)

The move toward *relational marketing* also signals a fundamental change in how value and time are conceptualized. While a transactional approach emphasizes immediate value capture and short-horizon metrics (e.g., periodic sales), a relational approach highlights cumulative value that develops across the customer relationship lifecycle. Value is no longer understood as a one-way output delivered by the firm, but as *relational value* emerging from consistent experiences, joint problem solving, and service adaptation to evolving customer needs. A longer time horizon enables firms to manage strategic trade-offs: investments in interaction quality, service recovery, and personalization can “pay back” through loyalty, reduced acquisition costs, and demand stability. Under this logic, *relationship marketing* provides a theoretical foundation for explaining why competitive advantage in services increasingly rests on the accumulation of relational capital rather than price competition alone.

### Trust

*Trust* is the baseline condition of *relationship marketing*—the customer’s confidence that the service provider is reliable, honest, and capable of delivering what is promised. In service contexts, where outcomes are often uncertain and difficult to evaluate before consumption, trust reduces perceived risk and increases willingness to continue the relationship, even when competitors offer similar prices or features.

### Commitment

*Commitment* reflects a customer’s (and firm’s) intention to maintain a relationship because it is considered valuable and worth sustaining over time. This dimension signals long-term orientation: customers remain not only due to satisfaction today, but because they perceive future benefits, relational stability, and a meaningful bond that makes switching less attractive.

### Communication

*Communication* is the relational infrastructure that keeps the relationship functional and credible. It covers the timeliness, clarity, and transparency of information exchange, including how firms set expectations, explain service processes, handle complaints, and conduct service recovery. Strong communication prevents relationship erosion caused by ambiguity and unmet expectations.

### Relationship Quality

*Relationship quality* is a higher-order assessment of the overall strength of the relationship. It synthesizes customers’ evaluations of trust, commitment, satisfaction, perceived fairness, and emotional connection. In many service settings, relationship quality becomes a stronger predictor of loyalty and advocacy than one-off transaction satisfaction.

## Customer Engagement

*Customer engagement* extends relationship marketing beyond retention toward active participation. Engaged customers contribute feedback, co-create service experiences, interact across channels, and advocate through recommendations and online reviews. This engagement strengthens relational value and creates learning and reputational advantages that are difficult for competitors to imitate.

## Value as an Outcome of Interaction

From a service-dominant perspective, *relationship marketing* is not merely a set of retention tactics but a strategic logic that treats value as something that emerges through ongoing interactions rather than being embedded in an “offer” delivered unilaterally by the firm. Because services are produced and consumed in real time, each encounter becomes a site where customers interpret quality, fairness, and care, and where relational signals (responsiveness, empathy, transparency) accumulate into trust and commitment. This interactional view implies that competitive advantage is shaped by how consistently a firm orchestrates relationships across touchpoints and over time—transforming routine service exchanges into relational value that reduces perceived risk, strengthens attachment, and increases willingness to stay and recommend.

## Co-creation and Customer Experience

Within the same logic, *co-creation* positions customers as active participants in creating service outcomes and experiences, not passive recipients. Customers contribute information, preferences, effort, and feedback, while the firm integrates resources (people, processes, technology) to personalize, recover failures, and continuously improve. As a result, customer experience becomes the practical expression of co-created value: repeated interactions generate learning loops, strengthen engagement, and convert relationship quality into loyalty and advocacy. In turn, these relational outcomes become harder for competitors to imitate because they are path-dependent—built gradually through shared histories, accumulated knowledge, and consistently positive experiences across channels.

## 3. RESEARCH METHODS

The methodology of this article adopts a qualitative approach through a theory-based narrative review oriented toward conceptual synthesis; therefore, its primary focus is not estimating quantitative effects, but rather interpreting and building a theoretical argument about how *relationship marketing* functions as a source of competitive advantage in service industries. A narrative review is selected because it can accommodate heterogeneous evidence

and enables critical interpretation to deepen understanding, particularly when the literature is broad, multidisciplinary, and requires integration across theoretical lenses (Sukhera, 2022). The literature base is drawn from service marketing and strategy, prioritizing conceptual articles and theoretical papers, with inclusion criteria centered on thematic relevance, theoretical contribution, and direct linkage to relational mechanisms (Snyder, 2019). Narrative analysis proceeds through identifying core conceptual themes, comparing perspectives across theories, and then developing an argumentative and interpretive synthesis that culminates in propositions and a conceptual model (Snyder, 2019). To ensure academic validity, the review emphasizes theoretical-logical consistency, depth of interpretation, and transparency in the selection and reasoning process—consistent with review rigor principles that require clear scope boundaries, justification of sources, and explicit reporting of interpretive steps (Sukhera, 2022). In addition, the study draws on traceable selection practices to enhance auditability (Xiao & Watson, 2019) and positions narrative review as complementary to systematic review depending on the goal of conceptual theorizing (Greenhalgh et al., 2018).

## 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Concept and Characteristics of Competitive Advantage in Service Industries

In service industries, sustainable competitive advantage is more likely to emerge from intangible resources—trust, reputation, customer experience, and organizational capabilities—than from cost efficiency alone. Because service outputs are difficult to patent and rivals can imitate visible features quickly, enduring advantage shifts from *what* a firm delivers to *how* it delivers: the consistency of interactions, the design of service encounters, and the ability to nurture relationships across multiple service cycles. Recent work emphasizes that long-lived advantage is closely tied to orchestrating service culture, knowledge sharing, and innovation routines that strengthen non-price differentiation and protect market positions under commoditization pressure (Azeem et al., 2021). In retail and consumer services, entrepreneurial orientation and *bricolage* further support sustained advantage by enabling adaptive recombination of resources when environments change (Tajeddini et al., 2023). Thus, service advantage is best treated as a relational outcome reflected in loyalty, reduced switching, and reputational resilience.

### Non-Price Differentiation: Loyalty and Reputation as Relational Assets

Non-price differentiation in services is built through cumulative customer judgments about reliability, empathy, responsiveness, and behavioral consistency—signals that make an intangible offering credible. Here, relationship marketing converts episodic transactions into

durable relational bonds: not merely retention programs, but mechanisms that create relational switching costs and strengthen reputation. When engagement and co-created experiences are sustained, customers often remain because the relationship offers psychological and social value that competitors struggle to replicate (Sohaib & Han, 2023). In digital ecosystems, differentiation increasingly depends on managing omnichannel journeys and personalization with ethical safeguards; AI-capable relationship marketing positions AI as an enabler of dynamic relational capabilities rather than a substitute for human connection (Roy et al., 2025). Evidence from Indonesian financial services also supports the pathway in which relationship marketing shapes loyalty via commitment, reinforcing non-price differentiation as a strategic route to advantage (Nadifah & Amir, 2025).

### **Sources of Competitive Advantage in Service Industries**

The sources of service competitive advantage can be organized into three clusters: intangible assets, human interaction and service culture, and knowledge-based relational capital. First, intangible assets—intellectual capital, innovative capability, and knowledge management—are consistently linked to competitive advantage and performance, including in highly competitive accommodation services (Wardhani et al., 2021). Second, human interaction functions as the “experience engine” of services, translating promises into lived evidence; therefore, service culture becomes a critical mechanism for quality consistency and customer assurance. Capability-based arguments show that organizational culture, knowledge sharing, and innovation jointly expand competitive advantage by strengthening differentiation and responsiveness (Azeem et al., 2021). Third, relational capital grows through repeated interactions, meaningful communication, and customer engagement, which can generate forgiveness during service failures and support cross-selling. In Indonesia, service-dominant logic applications highlight how co-creation strengthens competitiveness by improving marketing content innovation (Ujiati & Marsasi, 2024).

### **Knowledge and Relational Capital: From Relationships to Capabilities**

A key insight in service competition is that customer relationships are not only outcomes (loyalty) but also organizational capabilities that transform interaction into learning. Repeated encounters generate feedback, data, and interpretive insights; superior firms convert these signals into process improvement, service innovation, and responsible personalization. Digital servitization research stresses value co-creation capabilities as a way to integrate internal and external resources more adaptively, reinforcing service differentiation over time (Struwe & Slepnev, 2023). On the customer side, value co-creation behaviors strengthen loyalty by deepening participation and relational attachment, supporting the view of customers as

*resource integrators* in the service system (Sohaib & Han, 2023). Relationship marketing practices that sustain engagement also amplify reputation via referrals and positive word-of-mouth—especially vital in services where trust is built socially. Indonesian banking evidence similarly indicates that relationship bonds and trust enhance loyalty through commitment (Zailani et al., 2024). The resulting capability chain is: RM → trust/commitment/engagement → learning & innovation → non-price differentiation → reputation & loyalty.

### **Challenges in Achieving Competitive Advantage in Services**

Service industries face an imitability paradox: visible service features can be copied quickly, while consistent customer experience is difficult to reproduce because it depends on people, context, and “moments of truth.” When service standards fluctuate due to employee variation and operational pressure, non-price differentiation weakens and customers revert to price comparisons—the very trap firms seek to avoid. Relationship marketing can function as strategic “adhesive” by creating relational tolerance: strong bonds reduce churn after occasional service failures and protect retention even when performance is temporarily uneven (Sohaib & Han, 2023). Yet dependence on human resources also creates vulnerability—turnover, burnout, and skill gaps can erode trust and reputation. Capability-oriented studies underline the need for supportive culture, knowledge sharing, and innovation routines to stabilize quality without losing human warmth (Azeem et al., 2021). Digital acceleration adds another tension: personalization can enhance experience, but ethical and privacy risks can damage trust. AI-capable RM therefore requires balancing automation with relational integrity to avoid perceptions of manipulation (Roy et al., 2025).

### **Relationship Marketing As A Driver Of Competitive Advantage**

#### ***Resource-Based View (RBV) Perspective***

From an RBV lens, customer relationships function as strategic, intangible resources that can satisfy the “valuable–rare–hard-to-imitate” logic when they are embedded in routines, culture, and relationship-specific knowledge. In service industries, relationship assets accumulate through repeated encounters, employee behaviors, and organizational learning, making them difficult for competitors to replicate quickly. This is where inimitability emerges: not from a single tool (e.g., CRM), but from a configuration of resources (service culture, knowledge sharing, and innovation routines) that jointly shapes customer experience and loyalty (Azeem et al., 2021). RBV also highlights path dependency: relational advantage is “built over time” through historical interactions, trust repair after failures, and the gradual refinement of service scripts. Path dependency can constrain and enable strategic action,

reinforcing why rivals cannot simply copy relationship outcomes without reproducing the same trajectory of resource development (Goumagias et al., 2022).

### ***Social Exchange Theory Perspective***

Social Exchange Theory explains relationship marketing as a reciprocal process where customers and firms continuously evaluate benefits, fairness, and reliability across interactions. In services—where performance is experienced in real time—trust becomes a belief that the provider will act competently and ethically, while commitment reflects the customer's willingness to maintain the relationship because it is perceived as valuable and dependable. These relational states emerge through repeated exchanges that reduce uncertainty and discourage opportunism, strengthening long-term relational stability. Empirical service research shows that social mechanisms (e.g., gratitude and perceived relational benefits) can mediate how frontline behaviors translate into loyalty intentions, reinforcing the idea that relational bonds are sustained through ongoing reciprocal cues rather than single transactions (Hsiao & Ma, 2023). In Indonesian financial-service contexts, relationship-building practices also tend to reinforce loyalty via commitment pathways, illustrating that trust–commitment mechanisms remain relevant across settings even when operational features are easily matched (Nadifah & Amir, 2025).

### ***Service-Dominant Logic (S-D Logic) Perspective***

S-D Logic positions relationship marketing as the governance mechanism for value co-creation, where value is not “delivered” unilaterally but realized through interactions among actors in the service system. Under this view, the customer is a strategic partner—a resource integrator who contributes information, participation, and advocacy that shape the service outcome. Relationship marketing becomes the capability that mobilizes customer participation (feedback, co-design, engagement) while maintaining relational integrity and shared expectations. Recent service evidence demonstrates that value co-creation behaviors (participation and customer citizenship behaviors) are strongly linked to customer loyalty, reinforcing the theoretical claim that loyalty can be an outcome of collaborative value formation rather than purely firm-controlled persuasion (Nguyen, 2024). This perspective also aligns with competitive advantage in services: firms differentiate when they design interaction platforms and service processes that enable customers to co-create superior experiences, generating relational value that is harder to imitate than functional service attributes.

### ***Theoretical Mechanisms Linking Relationship Marketing to Sustainable Advantage***

A coherent mechanism across the three perspectives can be specified as a relational capability chain. First, relationship marketing strengthens relationship quality (trust, satisfaction, relational assurance), which increases loyalty through both affective attachment and perceived relational benefits. Second, loyalty translates into retention and elevated switching costs (procedural, financial, and especially relational), reducing churn and stabilizing revenue streams—key conditions for competitive advantage in service markets. Evidence in services indicates that multidimensional switching costs can meaningfully shape loyalty and can mediate how service value, quality, and satisfaction convert into continued patronage, highlighting why relational bonds have economic consequences beyond “soft” perceptions (Ha et al., 2023). Third, the accumulated relational value (knowledge about customers, reputational capital, co-created experience capability) becomes a durable strategic asset. Over time, this relational asset base becomes path dependent and difficult to replicate, enabling sustainable advantage even when competitors imitate visible service features (Goumagias et al., 2022).

Building on the preceding discussion—where service commoditization and digital transparency push firms beyond price and efficiency—cross-theory synthesis reveals a strong convergence: relationship marketing (RM) operates as a *capability* that transforms repeated service interactions into relational assets (trust, commitment, engagement) with strategic value. From an RBV perspective, customer relationships represent intangible resources that become *path dependent* and hard to imitate because they are embedded in interaction histories, service routines, organizational culture, and learning processes. This logic aligns with evidence that culture, knowledge sharing, and innovation jointly expand competitive advantage through capability configurations that competitors cannot easily replicate (Azeem et al., 2021). In Social Exchange Theory, trust and commitment are framed as outcomes of repeated, fair exchanges; long-term stability emerges when customers perceive relational benefits (assurance, responsiveness, reliability) that outweigh switching incentives. Meanwhile, Service-Dominant Logic (S-D Logic) positions value as emerging in interaction and value co-creation; customers function as *resource integrators*, so RM becomes a governance mechanism for collaboration and expectation management across service touchpoints (Grönroos, 2024). Methodologically, this type of conceptual integration is consistent with narrative review principles that prioritize interpretive synthesis, theoretical coherence, and transparent reasoning to develop non-empirical models (Sukhera, 2022).

## **Conceptual Model of RM–Competitive Advantage: Narrative Pathway and Non-Empirical Mechanisms**

Based on the synthesis, the conceptual model can be narrated as the following mechanism chain: RM practices (meaningful communication, service consistency, service recovery, ethically grounded personalization, and facilitation of customer participation) → strengthen relational states (trust–commitment–engagement) → form relationship quality (an overall evaluation of relationship strength) → increase loyalty → produce retention and elevate switching costs (especially relational and procedural costs) → accumulate relational capital (customer knowledge, reputation, referral networks, and learning loops) → yield sustainable competitive advantage through non-price differentiation that is *path dependent* and difficult to imitate. The link loyalty → retention/switching costs is theoretically reinforced by empirical evidence showing that switching costs can mediate how service value, quality, and satisfaction translate into loyalty outcomes, clarifying why relational strength has tangible economic consequences (Ha et al., 2023). In digitally intensified contexts, RM can be strengthened through AI-enabled relational capability—treated not as a replacement for relationships but as an *enabler* of dynamic sensing, seizing, and reconfiguring—so that relational value can be maintained at scale without eroding trust or perceived integrity (Roy et al., 2025).

## **Conceptual Discussion: Contributions to the Literature and Implications for Theory Development**

The model's main contribution is to integrate three frequently parallel streams into a unified conceptual explanation: RBV clarifies why advantage can persist (inimitability and path dependency), Social Exchange Theory clarifies how trust and commitment form through repeated reciprocal exchanges, and S-D Logic clarifies where value is created—in interaction and co-creation across service systems (Grönroos, 2024). With this integration, RM is positioned not as a promotional tactic but as a strategic relationship capability that orchestrates experiences and customer collaboration to create non-price differentiation and reputational resilience. The model also clarifies levels of analysis—micro (interaction and exchange), meso (service routines and culture), and macro (market reputation)—and therefore opens theoretical space to examine boundary conditions, such as how service culture, knowledge integration, and innovation shape whether relational assets become truly difficult to imitate (Azeem et al., 2021). To maintain rigor in a review-based conceptual article, the paper should explicitly demonstrate logical consistency, interpretive depth, and transparent argument flow, consistent with best practices in narrative review scholarship (Sukhera, 2022).

## 5. CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

This conclusion underscores that the theory-based narrative review yields a coherent conceptual synthesis positioning *relationship marketing* as a central driver of competitive advantage in increasingly commoditized service industries. The key conceptual finding is a consistent mechanism across theoretical lenses: RM practices (meaningful communication, service consistency, effective service recovery, ethically grounded personalization, and facilitation of customer participation) cultivate *trust*, *commitment*, and *engagement* that accumulate into *relationship quality*; stronger relationship quality enhances *loyalty*, which subsequently increases retention, elevates switching costs (especially relational costs), and expands *relational capital* in the form of customer knowledge, reputation, referral networks, and learning loops that enable ongoing service innovation. Accordingly, the most durable non-price differentiation is rooted in relational assets that are path dependent and difficult to imitate, as explained by RBV, reinforced by Social Exchange logic, and deepened by Service-Dominant Logic's view of value as interactional and co-created. The main limitations of this review stem from its non-empirical nature, reliance on author interpretation, and potential literature-selection bias. Future conceptual research should develop more specific propositions, clarify boundary conditions (e.g., degree of service contact, technology/AI intensity, and cultural context), and further integrate touchpoint orchestration and data-ethics considerations so the conceptual model becomes more precise, testable, and managerially actionable.

## DAFTAR REFERENSI

Azeem, M., Ahmed, M., Haider, S., & Sajjad, M. (2021). Expanding competitive advantage through organizational culture, knowledge sharing and organizational innovation. *Technology in Society*, 66, 101635. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2021.101635>

Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99–120. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700108>

Burnham, T. A., Frels, J. K., & Mahajan, V. (2003). Consumer switching costs: A typology, antecedents, and consequences. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 31(2), 109–126. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0092070302250897>

Dyer, J. H., & Singh, H. (1998). The relational view: Cooperative strategy and sources of interorganizational competitive advantage. *Academy of Management Review*, 23(4), 660–679. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1998.1255632>

Grönroos, C. (2024). Service-informed marketing reform. *Journal of Services Marketing*, 38(10), 32–43. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JSM-04-2024-0168>

Ha, M. T., Nguyen, G. D., & Doan, B. S. (2023). Understanding the mediating effect of switching costs on service value, quality, satisfaction, and loyalty. *Humanities and*

*Social Sciences Communications*, 10, 288. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-023-01797-6>

Hennig-Thurau, T., Gwinner, K. P., & Gremler, D. D. (2002). Understanding relationship marketing outcomes: An integration of relational benefits and relationship quality. *Journal of Service Research*, 4(3), 230–247. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094670502004003006>

Hollebeek, L. D., Sprott, D. E., & Brady, M. K. (2021). Rise of the machines? Customer engagement in automated service interactions. *Journal of Service Research*, 24(1), 3–8. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094670520975110>

Jaakkola, E. (2020). Designing conceptual articles: Four approaches. *AMS Review*, 10, 18–26. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13162-020-00161-0>

Katsifarakis, G. D., & Theodosiou, M. (2023). The role of service-dominant logic strategic orientations in driving customer engagement in online retailing. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 59(1), 99–115. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10949968231180497>

Lim, W. M., Rasul, T., Kumar, S., & Ala, M. (2022). Past, present, and future of customer engagement. *Journal of Business Research*, 140, 439–458. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.11.005>

Lunardo, R., Cusin, J., & Flacandji, M. (2023). A time(ly) perspective of the service recovery paradox: How organizational learning moderates follow-up recovery effects. *Journal of Business Research*, 166, 114088. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2023.114088>

Morgan, R. M., & Hunt, S. D. (1994). The commitment-trust theory of relationship marketing. *Journal of Marketing*, 58(3), 20–38. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224299405800302>

Palmatier, R. W., Dant, R. P., Grewal, D., & Evans, K. R. (2006). Factors influencing the effectiveness of relationship marketing: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Marketing*, 70(4), 136–153. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.70.4.136>

Rao, B. S., Shukla, S. K., & Sharma, S. (2024). Influence of digital transformation and relationship marketing strategy on competitive advantage in the service sector. *Cogent Business & Management*, 11(1), 2349260. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2024.2349260>

Roy, S. K., Tehrani, M., Pandit, A., Apostolidis, C., & Ray, A. (2025). AI-capable relationship marketing: Conceptualization, implications and research agenda. *Journal of Business Research*, 192, 115309. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2025.115309>

Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333–339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039>

Sohaib, M., & Han, H. (2023). Building customer loyalty in the hospitality industry: The role of customer engagement, service quality and relationship quality. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 74, 103442. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2023.103442>

Struwe, S., & Slepnev, D. (2023). It takes two to tango: When and how does customer engagement affect firm performance? *Journal of Business Research*, 160, 113825. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2023.113825>

Tajeddini, K., Gamage, T. C., Tajdini, J., Qalati, S. A., & Siddiqui, F. (2023). Achieving sustained competitive advantage in retail and consumer service firms: The role of

entrepreneurial orientation and entrepreneurial bricolage. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 75, 103495. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2023.103495>

Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(7), 509–533. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0266\(199708\)18:7%3C509::AID-SMJ882%3E3.0.CO;2-Z](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0266(199708)18:7%3C509::AID-SMJ882%3E3.0.CO;2-Z)

Vargo, S. L., & Lusch, R. F. (2004). Evolving to a new dominant logic for marketing. *Journal of Marketing*, 68(1), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.68.1.1.24036>

Vargo, S. L., & Lusch, R. F. (2008). Service-dominant logic: Continuing the evolution. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 36, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-007-0069-6>

Vargo, S. L., Koskela-Huotari, K., & Baron, S. (2023). Service-dominant logic as a unifying theoretical framework for marketing. *Journal of Business Research*, 159, 113725. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.12.056>

Zahoor, S. Z., Shah, A., Qureshi, I. H., & Khan, I. (2021). Enhancing customer satisfaction and retention in service context: The role of customer orientation and relational capabilities. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 30(8), 3513–3527. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2840>